

Surveillance systems, measurement and reporting of Hepatitis A and B epidemiology M.Kojouharova, A.Kurchatova, A.Min

Results of the EUROHEP.NET feasibility survey

M.Kojouharova, A.Kurchatova, A.Minkova National Center of Infectious and Parasitic Diseases, Dept. Epidemiological Surveillance and Early Warning, Sofia, Bulgaria

Objectives

- To give an overall picture of the existing surveillance systems for epidemiology of hepatitis A and hepatitis B in the participating countries.
- To study the feasibility to formulate guidelines to enable uniform measurement and reporting of the epidemiology.

Methods

- 20 countries (AT, BE, BG, CZ, EE, DE, GR, HU, IL, IT, LV, LT, LU, MT, NL, PL, RO, SK, SL, UK) participated in the EUROHEP.NET survey (2003) – NL only responded to the survey on hepatitis B.
- Based on the results of this survey, an overview and comparison between different surveillance systems for epidemiology of hepatitis A and hepatitis B was generated.
- Country-specific basic epidemiological data on hepatitis A and B epidemiology, obtained as a result of implementation of different surveillance systems, were analysed.
- The routinely collected surveillance data were analysed with regards to their comparability of measurement and reporting of hepatitis A and hepatitis B epidemiology.
- The basic components of the structure, process and outputs of the studied surveillance systems were compared and the feasibility of establishing a network for regular rapid and simple international exchange of public health information on hepatitis A and B, was evaluated.

Age groups and age-specific incidence of hepatitis A and hepatitis B

- Age-specific incidence of hepatitis A: (available in 16 from 19 countries)
 Age-specific incidence of hepatitis B: (available in 14 from 20 countries)
- Varying age categories:

No age categories	Uniform age categories in the participating countries	Different age categories in the participating countries	

Different age categories in years in the 20 countries in Europe



Discussion

- The hepatitis A and hepatitis B surveillance systems are included in the national surveillance of the participating countries and most of the countries evaluate the existing mandatory systems as most reliable.
- In the majority of the countries the surveillance is passive and the surveillance data are based mainly on reports of acute cases.
- Surveillance data are available at the central level (MOH, National surveillance centre) as individual and/or aggregated data, which is a prerequisite for establishing a network for exchanging epidemiological information.

www.eurohep.net

Standards for surveillance of hepatitis A and B

Case definitions	Hepatitis A	Hepatitis B
EC case definitions	14/19	15/20
Other definitions	5/19	5/20
of them close to EC definition (for confirmed case) 3/5		2/5
planning to adopt EC case definitions 5/5		5/5
HAV outbreak definitions	Νι	umber of countries
2 and more epidemiologically linked cases		9/19
3 and more epidemiologically linked cases		4/19
more than 5 cases		1/19
1/3 of the members of the community or collectivity		1/19
any extreme incidence according to place, time/incidence the average in a specified population and time/accumulat in a specific time and location/ occurrence of cases with source of infection and ways of spreading in a community	ion of cases ommon	4/19

Viral hepatitis surveillance systems

Basic information on surveillance systems according	Number of countries		
to the responses of the countries	VHA /19	VHB / 20	
1. viral hepatitis included in the national CD surveillance	19/19	20/20	
2. type of the surveillance: active passive	4 16	6 17	
mandatory voluntary sentinel laboratory	19 2 2 7	20 2 2 7	
3. surveillance data based on: • reports of acute clinical cases • hospitalization data • laboratory reports • registration of chronic HBV cases	19 9 7 NA	18 9 11 6	
 4. objects of the surveillance: to detect outbreaks to monitor trends to monitor changes in disease distribution and spread to facilitate planning and control measures evaluation to improve knowledge on the disease epidemiology 	19 19 18 18 18	20 20 19 19 20	
 5. type of data reported for surveillance purposes: age and sex residence country of birth risk factors 	18 19 8 17	19 19 8 16	
6. clinical information: • symptoms • date of onset • hospitalization • outcome	10 17 15 15	10 19 17 15	
7. availability of surveillance data at the central level: (National surveillance individual data center and/or MoH) aggregated data	17/19 13 11	18/20 14 12	
 8. frequency of data reporting to the surveillance center: continuously weekly monthly 	9 7 6	10 6 6	
9. frequency of data analysis at the surveillance center: • continuously • weekly • monthly	6 5 9	8 7 8	
10. possibility for underreporting of cases	19	18	

 Potential obstacles are related to the existing differences concerning case definitions, hepatitis A outbreak definition, variety in age categories used for surveillance purposes and the frequency of data reporting and analysis at the central level.

- A diversity of national data are now available as a result of implementation of different surveillance systems. This has made the comparative analysis of the country-specific hepatitis A and hepatitis B epidemiology unreliable.
- The adoption of EC case definitions implemented by the majority of countries would facilitate the standardization of the epidemiological information. Uniform measurement and reporting of hepatitis A and hepatitis B is possible on the basis of the existing national surveillance systems on condition that certain modifications are introduced according to guidelines.